This article was downloaded by: On: 28 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



To cite this Article Mehrotra, K. N. , Gahlaut, A. S. and Sharma, Meera(1989) 'Micellization and Conductance Behaviour of Thorium Soap Solutions', Physics and Chemistry of Liquids, 20: 2,  $147 - 155$ 

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00319108908036401 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00319108908036401>

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

*Phys. Chem. Liq..* 1989. Vol. **20,** pp. 147-155 Reprints available directly from the publisher Photocopying permitted by license only

## **MICELLIZATION AND CONDUCTANCE BEHAVIOUR OF THORIUM SOAP SOLUTIONS**

### K. N. MEHROTRA, A. **S.** GAHLAUT\* and MEERA SHARMA

*Departtnent of Chemistrjs, Agra University, Agra 282004, India.* 

*i Rrcriired 13 Junuury 1989)* 

The critical micelle concentration, degree of dissociation and dissociation constant of dilute solutions of thorium soaps in methanol-benzene mixtures were determined from conductivity measurements. The results showed that these soaps behave as weak electrolytes in dilute solutions below the CMC and the CMC increases in presence of Sudan (IV) dye. The various thermodynamic parameters were also evaluated for both dissociation and micellization processes.

**KEY** WORDS: Rare-earth metal soaps, heat of dissociation, micellization, conductance

## INTRODUCTION

During the last decade, considerable work has been reported on alkali, alkaline-earth and transition metal soaps whereas only few references<sup> $1-16$ </sup> are available on rare-earth metal soaps although these soaps are being widely used in many industries.

The present work deals with the studies on the conductance and micellor behaviour of thorium soaps in methanol-benzene mixtures of varying compositions at different temperatures.

## EXPERIMENTAL

All the chemicals were used of BDH/AR grade. Thorium soaps (caprate and laurate) were prepared by the direct metathesis of corresponding potassium soap with the required amount of aqueous solution of thorium nitrate at 50-55°C under vigorous stirring. The soaps were purified by recrystallization and analysed for elemental and metal contents. The melting points of purified soaps were:

> Caprate : 115°C Laurate : 118°C

The solutions of thorium soaps were prepared by dissolving requisite amount of soap in methanol-benzene mixtures of desired compositions and were kept for two hours in a thermostat at desired constant temperature.

<sup>\*</sup> Address for correspondence: Department of Chemistry, Narain College, Shikohabad 205135, India.

#### **148 K.** N. **MEHROTRA, A. S. GAHLAUT AND MEERA SHARMA**

The conductance measurements of the solutions of thorium soaps were taken with a Toshniwal digital conductivity meter model CL **Ol.lOA** and a dipping type conductivity cell with platinised electrodes at a constant temperature.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The specific conductance of dilute solutions of thorium soaps in methanol-benzene mixtures of varying composition (30, 40, 50 and  $60\%$  methanol) increases with the increase in the concentrations of soap, methanol and dye and with increasing temperature. The values of critical micelle concentration, **(CMC)** of thorium soap



**Figure I Specific conductance vs. concentration plots for thorium caprate in methanol-benzene mixtures**  at  $30 \pm 0.05$ °C.

solutions were determined from the plots of specific conductance vs. soap concentration which are characterised by an intersection of two straight lines at the CMC (Figure **1** ).

The increase in temperature and concentration of dye results in the increase of the CMC and the values of CMC were found to be almost independent of solvent composition (Table I).

The molar conductance of the dilute solutions of thorium soaps decreases with increasing soap concentration. However, the CMC cannot be obtained from the plots of molar conductance vs. square root of soap concentration (Figure 2) which are concave upwards with increasing slopes indicating that thorium soaps behave as weak



**Figure 2** Molar conductance **vs.** square root of concentration plots for thorium caprate in methanolbenzene mixtures at  $30 \pm 0.05$  C.

Name of soaps	$CMC \times 10^3$						
	30°	40°	$50^{\circ}$	60`			
Thorium caprate Thorium laurate	2.6 1.5	3.0 1.8	3.3 21	3.5 23			

**Table 1 CMC values of thorium soaps in g**  moles  $1^{-1}$ .

electrolytes in dilute solutions and Debye-Huckel-Onsager's equation is not applicable to these soap solutions. Since thorium soaps behave as weak electrolytes in dilute solutions, the expression for the dissociation may be developed in Ostwald's manner:

$$
(RCOO)4Th \xrightarrow{C1 - \alpha} Th^{4+} + 4.RCOO^{-}
$$
  

$$
C(1 - \alpha) \qquad C\alpha \qquad 4.C\alpha
$$

where C and  $\alpha$  are the concentration and degree of dissociation of soap, respectively. The dissociation constant, *K* and be expressed as:

$$
K = \frac{256C^4\alpha^5}{1-\alpha} \tag{1}
$$

Since  $\alpha$  is very small, interionic effects may be treated as negligible. Assuming  $\alpha$  as equal to the conductance ratio,  $\mu/\mu_0$  and rearranging, Eq. (1) can be written as:

$$
\mu^4 c^4 = \frac{K \mu_0^5}{256 \mu} - \frac{K \mu_0^4}{256}
$$

The values of  $\mu_0$  and *K* were determined from the slope,  $[K\mu_0^5/256]$  and intercept,  $[-K\mu_0^4/256]$  of the plots of  $\mu^4c^4$  vs.  $1/\mu$  are recorded in Tables 2 and 3.

**Table2 Values of limiting molar conductance of thorium soaps.** 

Percentage of	Thorium caprate						
Methanol	$30^\circ$	$40^{\circ}$	$50^{\circ}$	60°			
30	12.66	14.87	17.41	23.11			
40	13.01	15.59	18.49	23.39			
50	13.71	16.41	19.00	24.31			
60	14.65	17.21	19.49	24.78			
	Thorium laurate						
30	10.77	12.64	14.93	16.99			
40	11.81		15.71	17.78			
50	12.85	15.24	17.01	18.88			
60	13.96	16.03	18.22	19.61			

Percentage of methanol	Thorium caprate $K \times 10^{11}$						
	30°	$40^{\circ}$	50°	60°			
30	2.7 1.6		1.0	0.7			
40	5.1 2.3		1.4	0.9			
50	9.4	3.9	1.9	1.2			
60	17.6	6.1	2.7	1.6			
		Thorium laurate					
30	4.7	2.7	1.6	1.0			
40	6.8	3.5	1.9	1.1			
50	10.5	4.7	2.2	1.2			
60	15.5	2.8	1.4				

**Table 3** Values of dissociation constant.

The values of degree of dissociation and dissociation constant decrease rapidly in dilute solutions but decrease slowly above the CMC with increasing soap concentration. The dissociation constant increase with increasing amount of methanol in solvent mixture and with the chainlength of the soap while it decreases with increase of temperature. The decrease in the values of *K* with increasing temperature indicates the exothermic nature of thorium soaps in methanol-benzene mixtures.

The values of heat dissociation,  $\Delta H<sub>p</sub>$  for thorium soap solutions in methanolbenzene mixtures of varying compositions were obtained from the slope of linear plots of log *K* vs. 1/T (Figure **3)** and are tabulated (Table **4).** The heat of dissociation from thorium soaps is negative indicating that the dissociation of thorium soap is exothermic. However, the heat of dissociation decreases with the increase in chainlength of the soap and amount of methanol in the solvent mixture.

The free energy for the dissociation process,  $\Delta F<sub>p</sub>$  was calculated by using the relationship:

$$
\Delta F_D = -RT \ln K_D = RT \ln K_A
$$

where  $K_A$  and  $K_B$  are the equilibrium constants for the association and dissociation processes, respectively. The free energy values for dissociation  $(\Delta F_D)$  and micellization  $(\Delta F_A)$  have been calculated per mole of monomer and are recorded in Tables 5 and 6. The higher values of decrease in free energy for micellization process than dissociation process favours micellization.

For the aggregation process, when counter ions are bound to micelle, the standard free energy of micellization (per mole of monomer)  $\Delta F_A$ , for the phase separation model<sup>17,18</sup> is given by the relationship:

$$
\Delta F_A = 2RT \ln x_{\text{CMC}}
$$



**Figure 3**  $log k$  **vs.**  $1/T$  plots for thorium caprate in methanol benzene mixtures,

Name of soaps	$-\Delta H_D(kJ \text{ mol}^{-1})$							
	Percentage of methanol							
	30	40	50	60				
Thorium caprate Thorium laurate	38.51 46.16	51.95 55.82	59.68 63.50	65.43 69.26				

**Table 4 Thermodynamic parameters** of **dissociation process.** 

Percentage of methanol		Thorium caprate						
	$\Delta F_D(kJ \; mol^{-1})$				$-\Delta S_{R} \times 10^{2}$ (kJ k <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )			
	$30^\circ$	$40^\circ$	$50^\circ$	$60^\circ$	30 <sup>°</sup>	$40^{\circ}$	$50^\circ$	$60^{\circ}$
30	15.49	16.34	17.18	17.96	17.82	17.52	17.24	16.96
40	15.08	16.10	16.95	17.79	22.12	21.74	21.33	20.94
50	14.69	15.75	16.75	17.59	24.54	24.09	23.66	23.20
60	14.29	15.46	16.51	17.38	26.31	25.84	25.37	24.87
	Thorium laurate							
30	15.13	15.99	16.86	17.71	20.23	19.86	19.51	19.18
40	14.89	1583	16.75	17.65	23.33	22.89	22.47	22.06
50	14.62	15.63	16.65	17.59	25.78	25.28	24.81	24.35
60	14.37	1541	16.48	17.48	27.60	27.05	26.54	26.05

**Table 5** Thermodynamic parameters for dissociation process

where  $x_{CMC}$  is the CMC expressed as a mole fraction and is defined by:

$$
x_{\rm CMC} = \frac{n_{\rm s}}{n_{\rm s} + n_{\rm o}}
$$

Since the number of moles of free surfactant,  $n<sub>s</sub>$  is small as compared to the number of moles of solvent,  $n_0$ . Therefore,

$$
x_{\rm CMC} = n_s/n_0
$$

The standard entholpy change of micellization per mole of monomer for the phase

**Table 6** Thermodynamic parameters for association process

Percentage of methanol	Thorium caprate								
	$-\Delta F_A(kJ \text{ mol}^{-1})$			$\Delta S_A \times 10^2$ (kJ k <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )					
	$30^\circ$	$40^\circ$	$50^{\circ}$	$60^\circ$	$30^\circ$	$40^{\circ}$	$50^{\circ}$	60°	
30	43.81	44.48	45.40	46.49	20.81	20.36	20.01	19.74	
40	44.31	45.02	45.95	46.99	20.97	20.53	20.18	19.89	
50	44.71	45.49	46.41	47.50	21.10	20.68	20.32	20.04	
60	45.15	45.91	46.87	47.96	21.25	20.81	20.47	20.18	
		Thorium laurate							
30	46.58	47.17	47.84	48.85	24.27	23.68	23.16	22.76	
40	47.08	47.67	48.38	49.35	24.43	23.84	23.32	22.91	
50	47.54	48.13	48.85	49.85	24.59	23.99	23.47	23.06	
60	47.96	48.59	49.31	50.32	24.73	24.14	23.61	23.21	

separation model,<sup>17,18</sup>  $\Delta H_A$  is given by the relationship:

$$
\frac{\partial(\ln x_{\text{CMC}})}{\partial T} = \frac{\Delta H_A}{2RT^2}
$$

or  $\ln x_{\text{CMC}} = -\Delta H_A/2RT + C$ 

The values of  $\Delta H_A$  have been obtained from the slope of the linear plots of  $\ln x_{\text{CMC}}$ vs. **1/T** (Figure **4)** and found to be **19.24** and **26.96 KJ** mol-' for thorium caprate and laurate, respectively. The positive values of  $\Delta H_A$  indicate that the association process of these soaps is endothermic in methanol-benzene mixtures. The results show that the association process is dominant over the dissociation process.

The standard entropy changes for dissociation and association process,  $\Delta S_D$  and  $\Delta S_A$  have been calculated by the relationship:

$$
\Delta S = \frac{\Delta H - \Delta F}{T}
$$

The values of  $\Delta S_D$  and  $\Delta S_A$  for thorium soaps have been recorded in Tables-5 and 6. The higher values of  $\Delta S_A$  indicate that the association is dominant over dissociation process.



**Figure 4** In  $X_{CMC}$  vs.  $1/T$  plots for thorium caprate in methanol-benzene mixture.

It is, therefore, concluded that the thermodynamics of dissociation and association can be satisfactorily explained in the light of phase separation model by conductivity measurements. The results showed that dissociation of thorium soaps was found to be exothermic while the association process was endothermic in nature.

#### Acknowledgements

Grateful thanks are extended to CSIR, New Delhi for scholarship to A. S. G. and M. S.

#### References

- 1. **L.** W. Ryan and W. W. Plechnar, Ind. Eng. Chem., **26** (1934) 909.
- 2. J. H. Skellon and K. E. Andrews. *J. Appl. Chem.* London, *5* (1955) 245.
- 3. R. C. Mehrotra, *Wiss. 2.* Friedrich-Schiller Unit]. Jena Math. Naturwiss. *Reth.,* **14** (1965) 171.
- 4. L. D. Skrylev, **V.** F. Sazonna, M. E. Komelli and N. A. Shumitina, Khim. Khim. Teckhnol..21(1978)491.
- 5. H. W. Chatfield, Paint manuf., 6 (1936) 112.
- 6. Titanium pigment *Co.* Inc. Brit. 395-406 (1933) July 17.
- 7. J. **H.** Skellon and **J.** W. Spence, *J.* Appl. *Chem.* (London), **3** (1953) 10.
- 8. S. N. Misrd, T. N. Misra and R. C. Mehrotra, *J.* Inorg. Nucl. *Chem.,* **25** (1963) 195. 201.
- 9. G. Marwedel. Farhe *Li.* Luck, **60** (1954) 530; **62** (1956) 92.
- 10. **J. H.** Skellon and J. W. Spence, *J.* Soc. Chem. *Ind.* (London), **67** (1948) 365.
- <sup>1</sup>I. F. Main, D. Mills and D. W. White, **U.S.3, 320,172,** (1967).
- **12.** A. M. Bhandari, S. Dubey and R. N. Kapoor, *J.* Am. Oil Chemists' Soc., **4** (1970) 47.
- 13. **A.** K. Solanki and **A.** M. Bhandari, Tenside Detergents, **18** (1981) 34.
- 14. R. P. Varma and R. Jindal, Tenside Detergents, 20 (1983) 193.
- 15. K. N. Mehrotra, **A.** S. Gahlaut and Meera Sharma. *J. Am.* Oil Chemists' *SOC., 63* (1986) 1571.
- **16.** K. N. Mehrotra. **A.** S. Gahlaut and Meera Sharma, *J.* Colloid Interface Sci., **120.** (1987) 110.
- 17. B. W. Barry and G. F. J. Russel, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 40 (1972) 174.
- 18. D. C. Robins and **I. L.** Thomas, J. Colloid Interfuce *Sci., 26* (1968) 407.